1. Planning And Zoning Commission - Agenda
   Documents:
   
   2018_06_05_PZ_RG_AG.PDF

2. Planning And Zoning Commission - Packet
   Documents:
   
   2018_06_05_PZ_RG_PK.PDF
AGENDA

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

3. ROLL CALL

4. MINUTES

   a. Consideration and possible action to approve May 1, 2018 regular meeting minutes.

5. STAFF REPORTS

6. PUBLIC HEARING

7. NON-PUBLIC HEARING ACTION ITEMS

8. DISCUSSION ITEMS

   a. Consideration and possible action regarding a request to rezone 6.85 acres of real property generally located approximately 1,400 feet west of State Route 89 and 620 feet south of West Road 2 North at 740 West Road 1 North, from MR-1 (Multiple Family Residential-1 acre minimum) zoning district to MR-1 PAD (Multiple Family Residential-1 acre minimum) zoning district with a Planned Area Development Overlay zoning district to modify the density development standard in the MR-1 zoning district. (Jason Sanks, Development Service Director)

   b. Consideration and possible action regarding a request for a Conditional Use Permit for 1.38 acres of real property located approximately .25 mile north of the northwest corner of Center Street and State Route 89 intersection at 246 North State Route 89. The request of the CUP is to allow a food truck court on a CL (Commercial Light) zoned property. (Jason Sanks, Development Service Director)
9. PUBLIC COMMENTS

Call to the Public is an opportunity for the public to address the Commission on any issue within the jurisdiction of the Commission that is not on the agenda. Public comment is encouraged. Individuals are limited to speak for three (3) minutes. The total time for Call to the Public may be up to 30 minutes per meeting. Commission action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter, scheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date, or responding to criticism.

10. ADJOURN

Dated this 31st day of May, 2018.

By: Jason Sanks, Development Services Director

A copy of the agenda and background material provided to the Commissioners is available for public inspection at the Marion Lassa/Chino Valley Library, 1020 W. Palomino Road, Chino Valley, Arizona. Further details may be obtained by contacting Development Services Department at 1982 Voss, Chino Valley, Arizona (928) 636-4427.

The Town endeavors to make all public meetings accessible to persons with disabilities. With 72 hours advance notice, special assistance can also be provided for sight and/or hearing impaired persons at public meetings. Please call 636-2646 (voice) or use 711 (Telecommunications Arizona Relay Service) to request an accommodation to participate in this meeting.
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Planning and Zoning Commission Regular

Meeting Date: 06/05/2018

May 1, 2018 Minutes

CASE DESCRIPTION:
Consideration and possible action to approve May 1, 2018 regular meeting minutes.

ANALYSIS:

RECOMMENDATION
Approve May 1, 2018 regular meeting minutes.

Attachments

May 1, 2018 minutes
The Planning and Zoning Commission of the Town of Chino Valley met for a Regular Meeting in the Chino Valley Council Chambers, located at 202 N. State Route 89, Chino Valley, Arizona.

1) CALL TO ORDER

Chair Chuck Merritt called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

2) PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Commissioner Michael Bacon led the Pledge of Allegiance.

3) ROLL CALL

Present: Chair Chuck Merritt; Vice-Chair Gary Pasciak; Commissioner Tom Armstrong; Commissioner Michael Bacon; Commissioner John McCafferty; Commissioner William Welker; Commissioner Teena Meadors

Staff: Development Services Director Jason Sanks; Associate Planner Alex Lerma; Public Works Director/Town Engineer Frank Marbury; Deputy Town Clerk Vickie Nipper

4) MINUTES

a) Consideration and possible action to approve April 3, 2018 regular meeting minutes.

MOVED by Commissioner Tom Armstrong, seconded by Commissioner John McCafferty to approve the April 3, 2018 regular meeting minutes.

Vote: 7 - 0 PASSED - Unanimously

5) STAFF REPORTS

Development Services Director Sanks reported on the following:

- The UDO Update Subcommittee (UDO) held a meeting to review land use definitions and tables. Mr. Sanks explained the Matrix system, the consolidated land use districts and glossary of terms.
- The Economic Development Subcommittee held a meeting to review the first contract amendment for the EPS Group for Old Home Manor Industrial Park Master Plan. They will forward the plan to the Council for the expenditures.
- A preliminary meeting will be held regarding the Nighthawk project, which is near the Del
Rio project. They are looking at a rezone and a new plat.

6) **PUBLIC HEARING**

a) Consideration and possible action regarding a request for a zone change for approximately 5.71 acres of real property generally located approximately 1,000 feet west of the northwest corner of West Road 1 North and North Road 1 West at 1190 West Road 1 North, from AR-5 (Agricultural Residential-5 Acre Minimum) zoning district to SR-1 (Single Family Residential-1 Acre Minimum) zoning district. (Alex Lerma, Associate Planner)

Associate Planner Lerma presented the following:
- The property is located between two subdivisions: Vista Bonita to the north and Mesa Vista Estates to the west.
- The General Plan land use designation is medium density residential.
- The surrounding property zoning consists of single family residential one-acre minimum to the north and west; agricultural-residential five-acre minimum to the south; commercial light and single family residential one-acre minimum to the east. The surrounding zoning supports the applicant’s request.
- The property currently has a single-family residence, guest home, workshop and shed. The applicant would like to split the property into three separate parcels.
- The applicants are working with CVID (Chino Valley Irrigation District) to abandon an easement that runs north and south.
- A land survey shows how the applicants would like to split the property into three parcels. The middle parcel would be 3.7 acres, the parcels to the east and west would both be one acre.
- The applicants will create a cross access easement on the North of the property which will be accessed through Poco Lane.
- The applicant sent out 30 notifications to the surrounding property owners. Staff received one call from a property owner to the north of the subject property with concerns regarding the property owner having access to Poco Lane that had two power poles in the area. The applicant is working with APS to resolve the issue. Mr. Lerma explained that the easement and the power poles are not a zoning issue but would be an issue with the lot split, which would be an internal staff process.

Public Comment:

Marsha Moyer, Chino Valley resident, voiced concerns that if the easement did not go through, the one-acre properties would be landlocked. Mr. Lerma explained that the property owner is required to provide a 50-foot easement, so the properties would not be landlocked.

Commissioners requested that the APS issue be resolved before this project moves forward. Staff stated that they would be working with the town engineer on the issue.

MOVED by Commissioner John McCafferty, seconded by Vice-Chair Gary Pasciak to recommend approval to Town Council to rezone approximately 5.7 acres of real property located approximately 1,000 feet west of the northwest corner of West Road 1 North and North Road 1 West at 1190 West Road 1 North from (AR-5) Agricultural Residential- 5 Acre Minimum to (SR-1) Single Family Residential-1Acres Minimum.

**Vote:** 7 - 0 PASSED - Unanimously
Consideration and possible action regarding a request for a Conditional Use Permit for approximately 4.55 acres of real property located approximately 1,000 feet south of the southwest corner of East Road 2 North and North Road 1 East at 784 North Road 1 East. Approval of the Conditional Use Permit will allow the applicant to continue the operation and maintenance of a well drilling business consisting of storage of vehicles, machinery and supplies in the AR-5 zoning district. (Alex Lerma, Associate Planner)

Associate Planner Lerma presented the following:

- The applicant is applying to renew a conditional use permit (CUP) that expired.
- The property is surrounded by the Colonial Villa Subdivision to the east and Country West Mobile Home Park to the west.
- The subject property is located in the major community downtown core in the General Plan.
- The current land use is a single family residence with a 5,000 square foot workshop. The workshop is used for vehicle and drill maintenance. The site plan shows the main building is the single family residential home and the workshop is a maintenance shop, with the south of the property containing accessory buildings and equipment and vehicle storage. Trees and bushes provide a buffer between the property and the public streets.
- The applicant, Mr. McGee, applied for a rezone in 1996 from the AR (Agricultural) zoning district, which is equivalent to the current AR-5 zoning district, to CM-1, (Commercial Medium) which is equivalent to the current Commercial Light District. At the time, the surrounding property owners were supportive of the request, but the Planning and Zoning Commission had concerns with the potential future use of the property if the rezone was approved. Legal counsel requested that the applicant apply for a CUP instead of a rezone, which was granted in 1996 with the stipulation that the applicant renew the conditional use permit within five years. This did not happen when the business was transferred from father to son and the son did not know the conditional use had expired.
- Staff research indicated that the property has not been in violation since 1996 as far as surrounding property owners complaining about noise or esthetics of the property.

Commissioners asked if the CUP would be an indeterminate or endless time frame upon approval. Mr. Lerma explained that CUPs can have a limit such as five or ten years, but staff is not recommending a time limit for this application.

Applicant representative Ruth Mayday provided an overview of the applicant’s CUP request and lapse of the previous CUP.

MOVED by Commissioner Tom Armstrong, seconded by Vice-Chair Gary Pasciak to recommend approval to the Town Council for a Conditional Use Permit for approximately 4.55 acres of real property located approximately 1,000 feet south of the southwest corner of East Road 2 North and North Road 1 East at 784 North Road 1 East to allow the continuation of the operation and maintenance of a well drilling business consisting of storage of vehicles, machinery and supplies in the AR-5 (Agricultural Residential- 5 Acre Minimum) zoning district.

Vote: 7 - 0 PASSED - Unanimously
c) Consideration and possible action regarding a request for a Minor General Plan Amendment to change the land use designation for approximately 9.49 of 15.9 acres of real property generally located at 1460 West Road 4 North from Medium Density Residential land use designation to Commercial/Multi-Family Residential land use designation.

Consideration and possible action regarding a request to rezone approximately 75 acres of real property generally located .25 miles west of the northwest corner of West Road 4 North and North Road 1 West. The applicant has requested to rezone 15.9 acres from CL/MR-PAD (Commercial Light/Multi-Family Residential with a Planned Area Development overlay) to 6.4 acres of SR-1 and 9.49 acres of CL (Single Family Residential – 1 acre Minimum and Commercial Light, respectively). The remaining 59 acres are requested to be rezoned from AR-5 to SR-1. (Jason Sanks, Development Service Director)

Development Services Director Sanks presented the following:
- The property was rezoned lawfully in the past. The General Plan request is a formality to sync the maps with the legal use because the property is already entitled to commercial land uses. The main focus for the application will be the zone change.
- The property includes the Windmill House off Road 4 North and an existing residence but is more or less vacant on the north portion of the property.
- The entire 75 acres is designated Medium Density Residential.
- On December 8, 2015 the Windmill House and nearby property were in a blended zoning area, with multifamily zoning and commercial zoning with a Planned Area Development (PAD) Overlay. The PAD provided use restrictions. The current proposal does not include a PAD because the district uses do not require a PAD. Rezoning the property surrounding the Windmill House will protect the area from negative impacts. Applicants and Staff would like to remove the PAD and the Multifamily Residential zoning, keep the Commercial Light for the Windmill House operation, and rezone the surrounding area to Single Family Residential one acre minimum. One-acre lots are consistent with the area.
- Mr. Sanks provided an overview of the subdivision plat layout.
- Water services will be provided through Appaloosa Water Company and there will be individual septic tanks on each lot.
- The surrounding neighbors were concerned with the traffic increase created from the project and they were hoping to get a six-foot block wall at the portion of the entry drive that extends along the west side of the Windmill House.

Commissioners asked about the wall location in conjunction with drainage. Mr. Sanks explained that the wall wasn’t a necessity and not every subdivision had one in place. The fence was requested for the first two properties off Road 4 North near the drainage area. The other areas could use dense landscaping as a barrier.

Applicant Joe Cordovana provided an overview of the application. Commissioners questioned the applicant on the following:

- Wall: Mr. Cordovana stated that the wall would be a consideration when developing the plat.
- Developer: Mr. Cordovana stated that it had not been determined yet if he would act as developer or someone else would do it.

Public Comment:
Robert Scoon, nearby property owner, was concerned about the road that will be built parallel to his house and it is the reason he wants a wall. The current landscaping is not solid and does not create a sound or light buffer and is not being maintained. The wall would be solid and maintenance free. He also considered the Windmill House as a commercial property and believed a buffer should have been put in place when it was built. He anticipated a lot of construction vehicles using the road when the properties are developed and would like a buffer.

Wayne Moyer, nearby property owner, appreciated the proposed plan for one acre lots. He had concerns about headlights and noise and didn’t believe a fence will work because of the bend in the street. He also believed heavier landscape was needed for noise reduction.

Ronald Maines, resident (written letter provided), emphasized that the infrastructure roads and sidewalks remain a priority and he wanted the applicant to provide clarification and confirmation that all necessary steps have been taken with ADEQ for water provision and that he obtained a Certificate of Assured Water Supply as required.

Robert McCaullay, resident, stated that when a section of property was rezoned previously, the property owners were not to have the right to a super majority at Council meetings. There was also supposed to be an easement dedication across the front of the properties and he had not found that the Council implemented the dedication. If that was true, then the other project is illegal and should never have opened. He was told that the road was not going to be used as access to the Windmill House, but it is. He was concerned because Mr. Cordovana has cleaned out all the rubble and concrete and buried it across the front of the project and it will have to be dug up for the new development. He believed that Mr. Cordovana only wanted the rezone for the Commercial Light but will never complete the one acre development unless he was required to do so.

Commissioners questioned Mr. McCaullay for clarification on his statements but were unable to answer any of his questions during the meeting.

Mr. Sanks addressed several items brought up by the public:

- Road dedication:  He reviewed the road dedication process and explained that the dedication for this project would take place during the final plat and the project could not proceed without dedicating the property.
- Water:  Water provisions will occur during the platting stage, not the rezone change, and was regulated by ADWR, not the Town.  The Town will require a Certificate of Assured Water Supply prior to any approval of the final plat.  The developer can only get water service if the water company and their infrastructure can support it, and there may need to be some adjustments to the current infrastructure to provide the water, but they will not get homes built without the provision of water.
- Infrastructure:  Streets, water and dry utilities needed to be in place before the first housing permit will be issued.  The Plat will come to the Commission before anything is built.
- This application was to determine if it was an appropriate land use for the area.

The Commission discussed the previous dedication and the wording for the easement being included in the zone change. Mr. Sanks explained that dedications don’t immediately take place upon council approval, but they are in place for when they are needed during the platting process.

Commissioners and staff discussed:

- Access for the 52 lots on Tract A and the Windmill House:  Mr. Sanks explained that the access branches off, but both would be separate and would meet the local street standards.
• Rights of Way (ROW) process: The owner has agreed to the required ROWs. When the project begins and is platted, the ROWs will be dedicated in the proper locations. Frank Marbury asked for clarification on the development and the ROW dedications and any stipulations for roadway improvements. Mr. Sanks explained that would be a housekeeping item that would be handled by staff.

• Landscaping: This will be discussed during the platting phase.

• Wall: The wall can be included in the zoning phase or can be clearly addressed in the minutes. It can be added as a condition.

MOVED by Commissioner Michael Bacon, seconded by Commissioner John McCafferty to recommend approval to Town Council of a Minor General Plan Amendment to change the land use classification of approximately 9.49 acres of the 15.9 acres lot size for parcel 306-05-031V from Medium Density Residential land use classification to Commercial/ Multi-Family Residential land use classification; and also to recommend approval to Town Council of a request to rezone approximately 75 acres of real property (APN: 306-05-030R, 306-05-031V and 306-05-031T), rezone 15.9 acres from CL/MR-PAD (Commercial Light/Multi-Family Residential with a Planned Area Development overlay) to 6.4 acres of SR-1 and 9.49 acres of CL (Single Family Residential-1 acre Minimum and Commercial Light, respectively); and the remaining 59 acres to be rezoned from AR-5 to SR-1, with the following condition of approval: that a six foot high block wall be constructed along the west side of the tract E property line, commercial area/drainage, common area.

Vote: 7 - 0 PASSED - Unanimously

7) NON-PUBLIC HEARING ACTION ITEMS

8) DISCUSSION ITEMS

9) PUBLIC COMMENTS

Call to the Public is an opportunity for the public to address the Commission on any issue within the jurisdiction of the Commission that is not on the agenda. Public comment is encouraged. Individuals are limited to speak for three (3) minutes. The total time for Call to the Public may be up to 30 minutes per meeting. Commission action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter, scheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date, or responding to criticism.

• Ronald Maines, resident, was concerned that the three-minute rule was only for things not on the agenda. Chair Merritt explained that was only for this section of the Agenda and for items not discussed earlier on the agenda because items on the agenda cannot be readressed after the Commission has moved forward.

• Darrel Mahoney, resident, questioned if property value goes up after it is rezoned. Mr. Sanks explained there is no prohibition on a person rezoning their property. This is the first step in a long process.

10) ADJOURN

MOVED by Vice-Chair Gary Pasciak, seconded by Commissioner John McCafferty to adjourn the meeting at 7:10 p.m.
Vote: 7 - 0 PASSED - Unanimously

Chair Charles Merritt

Date
Planning and Zoning Commission Regular 8.a.
Meeting Date: 06/05/2018
Brook Apartments PAD

CASE DESCRIPTION:
Consideration and possible action regarding a request to rezone 6.85 acres of real property generally located approximately 1,400 feet west of State Route 89 and 620 feet south of West Road 2 North at 740 West Road 1 North, from MR-1 (Multiple Family Residential-1 acre minimum) zoning district to MR-1 PAD (Multiple Family Residential-1 acre minimum) zoning district with a Planned Area Development Overlay zoning district to modify the density development standard in the MR-1 zoning district. (Jason Sanks, Development Service Director)

LOCATION:
Generally located approximately 1,400 feet west of State Route 89 and 620 feet south of West Road 2 North at 740 West Road 1 North, Chino Valley, AZ 86323.

FACTS:
1. Applicant: Tim Emberlin
2. Owner: Brook Assisted Living LLC
3. Parcel Number: 306-23-024C
4. Site Area: 6.85 acres (approx. 298,386 sq. ft.)
5. Existing zoning: MHP-1
6. Intended Use: Apartments

ANALYSIS:
See attached Staff Report

SITE PLAN
See attached Site Plan

RECOMMENDATION
Staff recommends that the Planning and Zoning Commission forward a recommendation of approval to Town Council for a request to rezone 6.85 of real property from MR-1 (Multi-Family Residential- 1 acre Minimum) to MR-1 PAD (Multi-Family Residential- 1 acre Minimum with a Planned Area Development overlay) with its associated development plan with the following conditions:
1. The project shall substantially conform with the site plan, landscape plan, conceptual building elevations and other exhibits provided by the applicant, as modified by Staff’s recommended conditions.

2. The applicant shall provide a second row of offset evergreen trees along the south property line to better mitigate the appearance of building mass related to the significant increase in density requested with this Planned Area Development overlay.

3. The applicant shall preserve to the extent possible the existing mature trees on the perimeter of the project to maintain the visual buffer they provide to neighboring properties.
4. The applicant shall enter into a Development Agreement with the Town of Chino Valley regarding the following necessary off-site improvements.

- Water and Sewer will need to be extended to serve the property. The pipes shall be upsized for future development and the Town will participate in paying the difference in the cost of the larger pipe sizes beyond the size needed to serve the project.
- The roadway through the Heritage Place Business Park shall be constructed to connect the development to Road 2 North. This roadway shall be constructed to collector standards (28’ Roadway width, Concrete Curb and Gutter, and Concrete Sidewalk on at least one side with associated ramps and other devices). The Public Works Director shall approve the final design through the plan review stage of development.
- Drainage improvements shall be constructed in order to allow all weather access and prevent depth of flow from floodwaters in the street from exceeding one foot (1’) per Town standards.
- The ultimate street section for Road 2 North is intended to be a 3-lane roadway with bike lanes and sidewalk. The timing for construction has not been determined as the Hawksnest development is still pending. In the interim, the construction of a left turn lane for westbound Road 2 North traffic shall be provided with consideration given to minimize impacts to the ultimate section.
- There is no sidewalk connection from the intersection of the access road and Road 2 North to the existing sidewalk on the north side of Road 2 North just west of Walgreens. Future development along the north side (Hawksnest) will be required to extend the sidewalk along Road 2 North. As an interim measure until Hawksnest develops, the developer shall provide a fine graded granite screenings or decomposed granite pathway of sufficient width (minimum 5’, possibly more), with no more than a 2% cross-slope, and utilizing an approved stabilizing agent such as Soil-Sement or equivalent to connect to the existing sidewalk to the east.
- Pedestrian traffic will need to cross Road 2 North at some point. The developer shall provide for a crosswalk, exact design to be determined and approved by The Town’s Public Works Director during the plan review stage of development.

The developer shall provide, if deemed necessary by the Town’s Public Works Director during the plan review stage of development, a bus pull out area for eastbound Road 2 North traffic at the access road intersection.

---

**Attachments**

Brook Apartments Staff Report
Book Apartments Development Plan
APPLICATION SUMMARY

File Number: Z18-0002
Assessor’s Parcel Number: 306-23-024C
Site Location: The subject property is generally located approximately 1,400 feet west of State Route 89 and 620 feet south of West Road 2 North at 740 West Road 1 North, Chino Valley, AZ 86323.
Property Owner: Brook Assisted Living LLC
Applicant: Ruth Mayday, Mayday Consulting PLLC on behalf of Copper Tree Construction Group, LLC
Request: Request to rezone 6.85 acres of real property from MR-1 (Multi-Family Residential- 1 acre Minimum) to MR-1 PAD (Multi-Family Residential- 1 acre Minimum with a Planned Area Development overlay) with its associated development plan.

SITE DATA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Zoning</th>
<th>MR-1 (Multi-Family Residential- 1 acre Minimum)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lot Size</td>
<td>6.85 acres (approximately 298,386 sq. ft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subdivision</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Plan Land Use Designation</td>
<td>Major Community Core/ Downtown Core and Commercial/ Multi-Family Residential Land Use designation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BACKGROUND

SITE DESCRIPTION

The project is generally located approximately 1,400 feet west of State Route 89 and 620 feet south of West Road 2 North at 740 West Road 1 North. Access to the 6.85 acre site is via Hawksnest Trail, which extends southerly from West Road 2 North and terminates at the north boundary of the subject property. Hawksnest Trail was dedicated to the Town of Chino Valley in November of 2007 as part of the plat approval for Heritage Place subdivision, immediately north of the subject property. The site has a zoning classification of MR-1 (Multi-Family Residential- 1 acre Minimum) and is located in the Major Community Core/ Downtown Core in the Town of Chino Valley General Plan Potential Land Use Map. The site is currently vacant. See Figure 1.

PROJECT HISTORY

On August 10, 2006, through Ordinance 06-668 Council approved the applicant’s request to rezone the property, which at the time was identified with APN: 306-24-024A from AR-5 to (Agricultural/ Residential-5 acre minimum) to MR-1 (Multi-Family Residential- 1 acre minimum) and SR-2 (Single Family Residential-2 acre minimum). Subsequently in November 22, 2006 the property was split to divide the blended zoning on the property and APN: 306-23-024C became APN: 306-23-024B and APN 306-23-024C, respectively.

SURROUNDING PROPERTIES AND LAND USES

The area is comprised of low and medium density single family residential properties and commercial properties. The properties to the north are zoned AR-5 (Agricultural Residential-5 Acre Minimum) and CL (Commercial Light), the area zoned CL is known as Heritage Place Commercial Subdivision and is currently...
vacant. To the west properties are zoned SR-1 (Single Family Residential- 1 Acre Minimum), AR-5 (Agricultural Residential- 5 Acre Minimum) and CL (Commercial Light). with vacant land and single family residential properties. To the south, properties are comprised of SR-1 (Single Family Residential- 1 Acre Minimum) zoned properties and are a part of Chino Grove subdivision. To the east, the property is zoned CL/AR-5 (Commercial Light/ Agricultural Residential- 5 Acre Minimum) and are currently vacant. See Figure 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>ZONING</th>
<th>GENERAL PLAN LAND USE DESIGNATION</th>
<th>LAND USE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>AR-5, CL</td>
<td>Major Community Core/ Downtown Core and Commercial/ Multi-Family Residential</td>
<td>Single Family Residence, Vacant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>SR-1, AR-5, CL</td>
<td>Medium Density Residential (2ac or less)</td>
<td>Single Family Residence, Vacant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>SR-1</td>
<td>Commercial/ Multi-Family Residential</td>
<td>Chino Grove subdivision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>CL/AR-5</td>
<td>Major Community Core/ Downtown Core</td>
<td>Vacant Property</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The applicant is intending to rezone the property from (MR-1) Multi-Family Residential- 1 Acre Minimum to (MR-1 PAD) Multi-Family Residential with a Planned Area Development Overlay. The intent of the PAD overlay is to increase the allowed density on the site to increase from approximately 100 units to 192 units. No other deviations to the code are proposed. The site is currently vacant with no existing structures. The proposed MR-1 PAD zoning will allow the applicant to develop the site into a multi-family
apartment project consisting of 192 units in four (4) buildings, each three (3) stories in height, the
development will be considered the tallest and the largest multi-family residential development in Chino
Valley. Each building will contain 48- one- and two-bedroom units in three floors, with a footprint of
approximately 14,100 square feet. (See Appendix A: Development Plan & Appendix B: Building
Elevations). Aside from the proposed density, the project meets or exceeds the development standards
set forth in the Town’s Unified Development Ordinance. Staff has take special care to ensure all mitigation
measures have been implemented through site design and proposed conditions to mitigate the impacts
of the requested increase in density.

Building #1 is located in the northwest corner of the lot and is oriented diagonally northeast to southwest. Buildings 2, 3, and 4 are centered in the lot at and are sited perpendicular to the east/west parcel
boundaries. Each building will contain 48 one- and two-bedroom units in three floors, with a footprint of
approximately 14,100 square feet. The area of each building footprint and floor area are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building</th>
<th>Lot Coverage and Floor Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ground Floor Sq. Ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>14,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>14,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>56,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site access is through the Heritage Place subdivision to the north via a 50’ wide local street previously
dedicated to the Town. Fire requirements for on-site circulation and turn radii have been met; the fire
lanes are a minimum 26’ wide and turning radii are 50’ outside radius. The location and number of parking
stalls have been distributed to provide convenient access for all buildings and meets the standards set
forth in Chapter 4.22 Off-street Parking and Loading.

Signage

Signage for Brook Apartments will be of three (3) types: A monument sign at the entrance to the facility;
free-standing directional signage, and wall signage to identify each building. The purpose of the
monument sign is not only to identify the project, but to set the tone for the development. The color
palette will be reflected in the signage; the brick red color will be used for the monument with contrasting
letters in two (2) fonts affixed to the face of the sign. The sides of the entry sign will be stepped, with the
deeper shade of brown on the bottom and the lighter shade on top. The surface in front of the sign will
be decomposed granite (DG) or similar material, with irrigated landscaping to soften the overall feel of
the monument sign. Similarly, directional signage will repeat the theme, carrying colors and textures
through to the on-site signage. To complete the cohesive look of the project’s signage, building
identification (wall) signs will mimic the lettering type and fonts found on the entry signage.

(See Appendix C: Monument Sign)

Outdoor Lighting

The outdoor lighting the applicant is proposing to provide will be from Visionaire Lighting VLX series LED
lighting. Three (3) types of fixtures will be used: wall mounted for exterior illumination near building
perimeters, ground mounted low voltage fixtures to light walkways, and pole mounted for lighting parking
areas. All fixtures are low-profile and sleek, minimizing visual impact. (See Appendix D: Outdoor Lighting)
Landscaping and Buffering

The landscaping plan has been revised since the time of applicant to better mitigate the visual impact of the project on adjacent properties. First, the setback and buffer on the south boundary of the project has been increased to 20’ in depth from the previously proposed 10’ setback/buffer. The buffer will be landscaped with a row of mixed evergreen trees in front of a 6’ CMU block wall to obstruct the neighbors’ view of the project. A separation distance of 99’ from the property line to the face of Building 4 will further mitigate visual impact. Staff has conditioned its recommendation of approval with a requirement that the developer include a second row of evergreen trees in this buffer to provide a “green wall” that will obstruct views into the dense multi-family project in an effort to help mitigate the significant increase in density proposed.

Second, the property to the west of the project (Foley) presents challenges specific to this project. Currently, it is used as a small horse ranch (4 acres +/-); the property is fenced with field fence, which provides minimal security for trespassing onto the property. The horses on the site may present an attractive nuisance to residents of the project, especially children. To deter trespassing onto the adjoining property without posing a risk to the horses, the developer is proposing a 6’ chain link fence, coated in black, brown, or green as a barrier on the property line. The fence will be supported by concrete block pilasters, further securing the property. Separation distances range from 46 feet from the building face to the property line at the north near the arena on Foley’s property to 96 feet at the south end near the residence. In addition, a three-foot (3’) block wall will be constructed along the west parking lots to prevent headlights shining into the property.

Third, the preservation of existing trees will be considered in the final landscape plan. There are numerous large elm and other deciduous trees on or near the perimeter of the property that may provide visual relief from the third floor of the apartments; neighbors have expressed a desire to retain the trees in their current condition. The developer will make every effort to protect existing, healthy trees in the site grading process. Those trees that are diseased, dead, or present a fall hazard will not be preserved.

Section 4.26 Landscape Requirements set forth the specific requirements for vegetative and non-vegetative materials used on site. Minimum tree sizes set forth in the UDO call for 75% of the trees to be a minimum of 15 gallons and 25% to have 24” square boxes. The plan anticipates using evergreens of approximately eight feet (8’) in height to provide immediate screening of the project. The landscaping on the north side of the project will be comprised of both evergreen and deciduous trees, with scattered flowering trees to break up the vegetative mass. The density of the vegetation will meet (if not exceed) the minimums set forth in the UDO. Given the vacant status of the land to the east and its potential for a similar use, the landscape plan for that side of the project will be comprised of smaller but UDO-compliant evergreen trees and shrubs. (See Appendix E: Landscaping Plan)

Amenities

The applicant will provide numerous amenities for the residents of the project. Indoor amenities include laundry facilities on the first and second floors of each building; each building has a common area room that provides a different amenity in each building. An exercise/fitness center will be included on the first floor of Building 4; Building 3 will host a game room on its first floor and Building 2 will have a Wii interactive game room. Building 1 will offer a flex space that can be used for small gatherings or meetings. All indoor amenities will be available to all residents, without regard to the building in which they live.

With respect to outdoor activities, there are several options available. First, the “Triangle Park” located between Buildings 1 and 2 features a gazebo with a barbeque and seating, outdoor seating, a lawn area, and a bocce ball court. The detention basin at the northwest corner of the site will provide a turfed area
for outdoor games, and is connected to the multi-use path and accessible walking route that meanders along the property line in the landscape buffer area. Exercise stations will be provided between Buildings 3 and 4, which also connects to the meandering path. Two additional gazebos with barbeques and covered seating will also be constructed in the green space between Buildings 3 and 4.

**PROJECT CHALLENGES AND RELIEF**

The project requests relief from one standard only: density. Unlike the initially submitted proposal, this version meets all parking, setback, buffer yard, landscaping, outdoor lighting, height, and signage requirements.

With respect to density, the project is proposing 28 dwelling units per acre or one (1) unit per 1,400 square feet of area; the UDO currently allows for only 14.5 units per acre, or one (1) unit per 3,000 square feet. The applicant believes that although it may seem counterintuitive, concentrating density in this manner will actually benefit Chino Valley, as less land area will be used to accommodate the same number of households. The proposed density will also provide much needed housing options that do not currently exist in Chino Valley, particularly in regards to market rate, all age-inclusive housing.

Density, by nature, is not considered a negative trait of all projects when placed in the appropriate location. This project is located in the densest, most commercialized area of the Town’s center where density is both anticipated and encouraged. The prospective new residents will be in closest proximity to the Town’s largest commercial services areas. Furthermore, the Town’s UDO does not offer a wide range of zoning districts to accommodate growth, hence the necessary utilization of the Planned Area Development overlay as requested with this project. Most other towns and cities offer a higher density zoning district as an option for apartment projects. Both nearby projects known as Granite Creek and Antelope Valley Apartments are income-qualified developments, funded in part with Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC). As with the other proposed market-rate multifamily housing projects in Chino Valley, higher densities are needed to ensure the project’s success and provide safe, affordable housing options in the community.

**PUBLIC REVIEW & HEARING PROCESS**

Town Staff notified property owners within a 300’ radius, resulting in twenty-two (22) letters to surrounding property owners. Each property received information regarding the application request for a zone change. To date, no responses to those letters have been received by the Town. Staff has received several phone calls and have met with property owners in person regarding the project.

Throughout the past few months several property owners have talked to staff regarding the project and have requested copies of the site plan and supporting documents. Several surrounding neighbors have called to confirm the dates for the neighborhood and public hearing meetings.

The item went before the Planning and Zoning Commission as a study session item on the special Planning and Zoning hearing on March 20, 2018. At said meeting, the Commission were introduced to the project and received an overview of the overall project.

The Commissioners discussed traffic analysis and impacts. Staff explained that the Town would be working on a dual scope contract that will include the Traffic Study Impact of another apartment complex project as well as a larger-scope town traffic impact study that will address the surrounding area of Road 1 West and Road 2 North intersection at State Highway 89.

Commissioners and staff also discussed possible access road improvements to the development project to the north. The brought up issues regarding the lack of recreational facilities presented at the
development stage, the lack of sidewalks and screening and buffering. The item was not opened for
discussion to the public since the matter had not had any advertised public meetings at that point. The
Commission also asked for additional details to be provided regarding a detailed plan of the primary
amenity area in the front “triangle” of the project. The applicant neglected to prepare that plan in their
resubmittal, citing that they had provided enough details already.

The neighborhood meeting was conducted on March 26, 2018 at Town Hall. The applicant gave a brief
overview on the project and made clear that the property was already zoned for multi-family residential
and that they were requesting a Planned Area Development overlay for the density.

Property owners asked if the project would be an age restricted development. The applicant responded
that the project would not be age restricted but that all ground floor level units would meet ADA
(American Disability Act) requirements. The property owners stated that the site plan did not show any
amenities for children. Applicant stated that they were working with staff on that issue and that a revised
site plan would show amenities.

Another property owner wanted to know how the developer determined the number of spaces for the
project. She stated that each occupied unit would own one or two vehicles and that there would be
enough parking for occupants and guests. The applicant stated the project was abiding by Town
requirements that requires 1.5 parking spaces per dwelling units (one-two bedroom unit).

The property owners also wanted to know if the project would be a low income housing project. The
applicant responded that this was a market rate project, that rents would be geared towards work force
housing.

Surrounding property owners were concerned that their home values would be affected by the multi-
family residential units. Most of the surrounding properties are agricultural in use and were concerned
about their horses and other farm animals next to a high density residential project. The applicant noted
that the property was already zoned for multi-family development and that this project would meet all
requirements of the UDO aside from their density request.

Overall the neighbors who attended the meeting were in opposition to the density of the project. They
did not approve of the four three story buildings. They also wanted to see more buffering along the
property lines between the development and the surrounding neighbors.

| STAFF ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATION |

GENERAL PLAN CONFORMANCE

The property has a General Plan Land Use Designation of Commercial/Multi-Family Residential and a
portion of the property is within the Major Community Core/Downtown Core area. Through the General
Plan, the Town has identified six Community Cores and the types of land uses that support core areas.
Cores should be a mixture of uses that enable pedestrian comfort, enhanced building appearances with
street trees and other vegetation as aesthetic enhancements. The Cores should support housing choice
as well as support local businesses while promoting future business expansion.

The Towns vision is that the Major Community/Downtown Core should contain existing major retail,
highway frontage, traffic signals, water/sewer access, large vacant adjacent lands. One of the General Plan
Goals is: Focus on Development of Community Cores and on Lifestyle Choices in Land Use.
Target Strategy 1 for that goal is to encourage new variety of residential and compatible development in
Community Cores for sustainable lifestyle choices, furthermore Target Strategy 2 is to: protect existing
residences in large lot neighborhoods as a new lifestyle choices develop through buffering, separation, screening and other planning methods.

ZONING

The current zoning on the property is MR-1 (Multi-Family Residential- 1 acre minimum). The purpose of the MR-1 zoning district is to fulfill the need for high density multi-family residential development. The MR zoning district allows multiple family dwellings. Each unit shall have minimum of 3,000 sq. ft. of land area, in other words 14.5 units per acre, or one (1) unit per 3,000 square feet. The subject property lot size is 6.85 acres (approximately 298,386 sq. ft.) The current development standards only allow the developer to build approximately 100 units on site. The applicant is requesting relief of this density cap with a PAD overlay. The project is proposing 28 dwelling units per acre or one (1) unit per 1,400 square feet of area (192 units).

The MR-1 (Multi-Family Residential- 1 acre Minimum) Development Standards set forth by the UDO (Unified Development Ordinance) Section 3.13.D are as followed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minimum Lot area:</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Lot Frontage:</td>
<td>75 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Front yard Set Back:</td>
<td>20 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Side-Yard Set Back:</td>
<td>10 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Rear Yard Backs:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Building Height:</td>
<td>20 feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Lot Coverage:</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessory Building:</td>
<td>Shall be setback 10 feet from side and rear property lines and must conform to the front yard and street side yard setbacks.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the Site Plan the following table displays the actual setback of the buildings from the property line as well as total lot coverage and building height. (See Appendix F: Building Setbacks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Set Backs, Lot Coverage and Building Height</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The vertical distance measured form the average grade level to the highest level of the roof surface of flat roofs, to the deck line of mansard roofs, or to the mean height between eaves and ridges for gable, gambrel, shed or hip roofs.
The buildings are setback much further than the required setbacks set forth in the development standards. Building 4, located closest to where the highest density of residences are located to the south of the subject property, is located 99 feet from the property line. Along with keeping the building as far as possible from the south property line, landscaping will also mitigate the density of the project by serving as a “green wall” buffer between the project and residents to the south. Overall the project will be mitigated by the additional setbacks and proposed walls, fences, and landscaping.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Staff recommends that the Planning and Zoning Commission forward a recommendation of approval to Town Council for a request to rezone 6.85 of real property from MR-1 (Multi-Family Residential- 1 acre Minimum) to MR-1 PAD (Multi-Family Residential- 1 acre Minimum with a Planned Area Development overlay) with its associated development plan with the following conditions:

1. The project shall substantially conform with the site plan, landscape plan, conceptual building elevations and other exhibits provided by the applicant, as modified by Staff’s recommended conditions.

2. The applicant shall provide a second row of offset evergreen trees along the south property line to better mitigate the appearance of building mass related to the significant increase in density requested with this Planned Area Development overlay.

3. The applicant shall preserve to the extent possible the existing mature trees on the perimeter of the project to maintain the visual buffer they provide to neighboring properties.

4. The applicant shall enter into a Development Agreement with the Town of Chino Valley regarding the following necessary off-site improvements.

   A. Water and Sewer will need to be extended to serve the property. The pipes shall be up sized for future development and the Town will participate in paying the difference in the cost of the larger pipe sizes beyond the size needed to serve the project.

   B. The roadway through the Heritage Place Business Park shall be constructed to connect the development to Road 2 North. This roadway shall be constructed to collector standards (28’ Roadway width, Concrete Curb and Gutter, and Concrete Sidewalk on at least one side with associated ramps and other devices). The Public Works Director shall approve the final design through the plan review stage of development.

   C. Drainage improvements shall be constructed in order to allow all weather access and prevent depth of flow from floodwaters in the street from exceeding one foot (1’) per Town standards.

   D. The ultimate street section for Road 2 North is intended to be a 3-lane roadway with bike lanes and sidewalk. The timing for construction has not been determined as the Hawksnest development is still pending. In the interim, the construction of a left turn lane for westbound Road 2 North traffic shall be provided with consideration given to minimize impacts to the ultimate section.

   E. There is no sidewalk connection from the intersection of the access road and Road 2 North to the existing sidewalk on the north side of Road 2 North just west of Walgreens. Future development along the north side (Hawksnest) will be required to extend the sidewalk along Road 2 North. As an interim measure until Hawksnest develops, the developer shall provide a fine graded granite screenings or decomposed granite pathway of sufficient width (minimum 5’, possibly more), with no more than a 2% cross-slope, and utilizing an
approved stabilizing agent such as Soil-Sement or equivalent to connect to the existing sidewalk to the east.

F. Pedestrian traffic will need to cross Road 2 North at some point. The developer shall provide for a crosswalk, exact design to be determined and approved by The Town’s Public Works Director during the plan review stage of development.

G. The developer shall provide, if deemed necessary by the Town’s Public Works Director during the plan review stage of development, a bus pull out area for eastbound Road 2 North traffic at the access road intersection.
APPENDIX C: MONUMENT SIGN
APPENDIX D: OUTDOOR LIGHTING
PLANNED AREA DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT DATA

PROJECT NAME: BROOK APARTMENTS
PROJECT ADDRESSES: BROOK APARTMENTS
PR17-000024; APN: 306-23-024C
CHINO VALLEY, AZ

PROPOSED PROJECT DESCRIPTION:
NEW CONSTRUCTION FOR A 192 UNIT 1 & 2 BED MULTI-FAMILY APARTMENT COMPLEX

ARCHITECT: FERGIS AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
7227 N. 16TH ST. #212 PHOENIX, AZ 85020
(602) 279-1693   FAX (602) 264-2383

UNIT MIX:
2 BED: 96, 1 BED: 96 = 192 UNITS

PARKING REQUIRED/PROVIDED:
1.5 SPACES PER 1 & 2 BED UNIT
192 X 1.5 = 288 SPACES REQUIRED
1 PER 10 UNITS GUEST PARKING = 19 SPACES REQUIRED
TOTAL SPACES REQUIRED = 288+19 = 307
TOTAL SPACE PROVIDED = 307

TYPICAL STANDARD PARKING STALL 20'-0" X 10'-0"
TYPICAL ADA PARKING STALL 20'-0" X 11'-0" W/ 5' ISLE
1 ADA STALL PER 20 FOR 20 UNITS, 2 PER 25 EACH ADDITIONAL UNIT (INCLUDED IN COUNT)
CASE DESCRIPTION:
Consideration and possible action regarding a request for a Conditional Use Permit for 1.38 acres of real property located approximately .25 mile north of the northwest corner of Center Street and State Route 89 intersection at 246 North State Route 89. The request of the CUP is to allow a food truck court on a CL (Commercial Light) zoned property. (Jason Sanks, Development Service Director)

LOCATION:
Located approximately .25 mile north of the northwest corner of Center Street and State Route 89 intersection at 246 North State Route 89.

FACTS:
1. Applicant: Bruce Eldredge
2. Owner: 246 Holdings, LLC
3. Parcel Number: 306-23-057
4. Site Area: 1.38 acres
5. Existing zoning: CL (Commercial Light)
6. Intended Use: Food Truck Court

ANALYSIS:
See attached Staff Report.

SITE PLAN
See Attached Site Plan

RECOMMENDATION
Staff recommends that the Planning and Zoning Commission forward to the Town Council a recommendation of approval for a Conditional Use Permit for APN 306-23-057 to allow a food truck court on a CL (Commercial Light) zoned district, subject to the following conditions:

1) All food trucks shall be located in the designated food truck court area shown on site plan.
2) Food trucks shall be limited to no more than 2 trucks on site.
3) Trash and recycle receptacles shall be provided on site, and must be emptied and maintained.

Attachments
Eldredge Site Plan
Eldredge Staff Report
PROJECT DATA

APN: 306-23-057
Site Address: 246 North State Route 89
Site Area: 1.38 Acres (60,112 sf)
Existing Zoning: Commercial Light
General Plan: Land Use Designation: Commercial/Multi-Family Residential
Site Improvements: Two (2) Commercial Buildings
Parking Spaces Provided: 21 Parking Spaces
Proposed Project Description: Conditional Use Permit To Allow A Food Truck Court In the Commercial Light Zoning District

First Floor Building 1260 sf

First Floor Building 2140 sf

PROPOSED FOOD TRUCK COURT AREA

OPEN SPACE
APPLICATION SUMMARY

File Number: C18-000004
Assessor’s Parcel Number: 306-23-057
Site Location: Located approximately .25 mile north of the northwest corner of Center Street and State Route 89 intersection at 246 North State Route 89.
Property Owner: 246 Holdings, LLC
Applicant: Bruce Eldredge
Request: Request for a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) to allow a food truck court on a CL (Commercial Light) zoned site.

SITE DATA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Zoning</th>
<th>CL (Commercial Light)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lot Size</td>
<td>1.38 acres (approximately 60,112 sq. ft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subdivision</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Plan Land Use</td>
<td>Commercial/ Multi-Family Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Land Use</td>
<td>Two (2) Office Buildings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BACKGROUND

SITE DESCRIPTION

The subject property is located approximately .25 mile north of the northwest corner of Center Street and State Route 89 intersection at 246 North State Route 89. The property is accessed by State Route 89. The site is identified with a CL (Commercial Light) zoning district under the Town of Chino Valley UDO (Unified Development Ordinance). The parcel has a General Plan Land Use Designation of Commercial/ Multi-Family Residential under the Town of Chino Valley General Plan Potential Land Use Map. Existing improvements on the property include a two (2) office buildings. The bigger office building is 2,140 sq. ft. in floor area with the smaller building being 1,260 sq. ft. in floor area. (See Figure 1. Aerial Map)
PROJECT HISTORY

The main building on site has been used by MDI Financial Serves, offering financial assistance at this location since 2006. The other building was used as a hair salon form 2011 to 2017. Currently it is being used by Mi Phone Connection for retail. In February 14, 2018, the 5000 Food Unit applied and was issued a business license to locate on site as a mobile merchant food truck on February 14, 2018.

Mr. Eldredge, the property owner, spoke to Staff in late March of this year to inquire about locating and additional food truck on site. The location of an additional food truck on site would be considered a food court as interpreted by the Zoning Administrator and confirmed with legal counsel. In addition, Staff defines a food truck as an accessory business to the main business to the site and does not allow a second accessory business. Food trucks and food courts are not clearly defined in UDO (Unified Development Ordinance) and have become a challenge to regulate in the past.

Tiger Express Food Truck was allowed to locate on site as the second food truck on April 4, 2018 with a temporary permit which would need to be renewed every time the permit expired. The 5000 Food Unit is no longer located on site and Tiger Express Food Truck remains as the only food truck on site. Mr. Eldredge has expressed the desire to continue forward and would like to locate up to three (3) food trucks at the location on a permanent bases. Staff has directed the applicant to apply for a Conditional Use Permit.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The applicant has applied for a Conditional Use Permit to allow a food court with a maximum of 3 food trailers on property zoned CL (Commercial Light). The applicant believes the site is appropriate for a food court, expressing that the site has a concrete slab with highway frontage access, power and water utilities on the slab. He has further stated that the location has secure trash cans, restrooms and adequate parking for a food court. The food trucks are not proposed to be parked in any portion of the existing parking lot. (See Appendix A: Site Plan)
Staff has been on site on several occasions to inspect the property. The site currently provides twenty one (21) parking spaces for the two existing commercial buildings. The parking spaces are distributed between the two buildings with the larger building with eight (8) spaces and the smaller building with six (6) spaces. The additional seven (7) spaces are provided along the concrete slab. Based on the size of the lot, the only place the makes sense to locate the food trucks would be on the concrete slab located on the front of the property. Locating the food trucks outside the concrete slab will cause interior traffic flow issues and might create safety issues.

SURROUNDING PROPERTIES ZONING AND LAND USES

The property is located on the State Route 89 commercial corridor, the area is predominantly made up of a mix of commercial and residential uses. The properties directly north have a zoning classification of CL (Commercial Light) and CL/SR-1 (Commercial Light/ Single Family Residential- 1 Acre Minimum). Directly west, properties are zoned CL and SR-1 with single family residences along Telephone Road. To the south properties are zoned CL, CL/AR-5 (Commercial Light/ Agricultural Residential- 5 Acre Minimum), MR-1/MHP-4 (Multi-Family Residential- 1 Acre Minimum/ Mobile Home Park- Residential- 4 Acre Minimum) with Chino Valley Town Hall and Granite Creek Apartments to the south. To the east, across State Route 89, properties are zoned CL/AR-5 and CL with the Prescott Pump House location. (See Figure 2: Zoning Map)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>ZONING</th>
<th>GENERAL PLAN LAND USE DESIGNATION</th>
<th>EXISTING LAND USE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>CL, CL/SR-1</td>
<td>Commercial/ Multi-Family Residential</td>
<td>Commercial Properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Single Family Residence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>CL, SR-1</td>
<td>Commercial/ Multi-Family Residential</td>
<td>Commercial Properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Single Family Residence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PUBLIC REVIEW PARTICIPATION

The Town Staff notified property owners within a 300’ radius, resulting in twelve (12) letters to surrounding property owners. Property owners received information regarding the applicant’s request for a CUP in the CL (Commercial Light) zoning district. To date, no responses to those letters have been received by the Town.

The neighborhood meeting was held on May 21, 2018. At said meeting, there were no property owners in attendance. The item will go before the Planning and Zoning Commission on Jun35th, 2018.

STAFF ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATION

The proposed use is not one that is well-defined in the UDO (Unified Development Ordinance). This stated, the Zoning Administrator, with the assistance of legal counsel, has determined that the closest comparable use and related entitlement path would be to seek a Conditional Use Permit for the property to allow a Food Truck Court.

The Town currently has no cluster of food trucks in town that serve as a primary use of the property – in the form of the proposed “court” with outdoor seating and potential entertainment areas in the center of the cluster. After staff review of the proposal and experience with similar facilities, we found that Food Truck Courts are very different than a typical restaurant and are not inherently the same use classification based solely on the fact that food is generated for public consumption. Restaurants are facilities where the following activities are conducted nearly entirely within an enclosed facility:

1) Food preparation with internal air handling systems
2) Patron/Employee interface for the purpose of food ordering, serving, consumption, and clean up
3) Associated refuse processing, then transported to outdoor refuse enclosures
4) Sites are stationary buildings with permanent utility connections, building setbacks, and other development standards

In our interpretation of the code that our legal counsel has reviewed and accepted, we find that a Food Truck Court use in the Light Commercial zoning district is most closely found in the Temporary Use Section, where a Temporary Use Permit is required for similar uses such as Outdoor Retail Sales and Swap Meets. The time limit on a Temporary Use Permit cannot exceed 15 days (by Zoning Administrator Approval), but there is a provision for the Town Council to approve the Temporary Use for as long as it sees fit. An alternative to Temporary Use Permit is the Conditional Use Permit which will allow a longer duration of time for a specific use. The Conditional Use Permit is subject to discretionary approval by Council if the location and context of the site is suitable for the requested use. The Conditional Use Permit process also offers the Town Council the ability to set specific operating parameters and mitigating limits related to
hours of operation, allowance of outdoor music, periodic review assessments, and so forth. This said, we believe the use is subject to the Citizen Review process and approval by Town Council—particularly since there are adjacent residential uses to the west. With assistance by legal counsel, Staff had recommended that the applicant apply for a Conditional Use Permit.

The Town currently has (3) three active business licenses for food truck, these food trucks are located within the parking lots of commercial establishments. In the past, Staff have brought a similar item to both Planning and Zoning and Town Council Study Session, at the time that applicant intentions of having a food truck court in a different located with the possibility of locating 4 to 6 independently operated food truck with live music on special occasions. During the study session the following items were discussed:

1) Noise and smells related to the semi-outdoor production of food
2) Noise generated by the outdoor purchase and consumption of food
3) Access and traffic generated by the use, with vendors coming and going on a varied basis
4) Outdoor trash receptacles and maintenance related to disposable dinnerware and food waste
5) Potential noise related to outdoor broadcast and live music related to special events.

Mr. Eldredge request for a food truck court is somewhat different in nature. Mr. Eldredge does not intend to have live music on special occasions and will be strictly dedicated to providing food. Mr. Eldredge intends to only locate 2 to 3 food trucks on site which will be less impactful regarding noise and traffic compared to having 4 to 6 food trucks.

Based on the site visits Staff has done on site. The parking spaces (21 parking spaces) provided on site will only be sufficient enough to support the two commercial buildings and no more than two food trucks at a time. Furthermore the area designated to the food truck court will only be able to locate two food truck without the area becoming compacted. Staff recommends that no more than two (2) food trucks be located on site.

Staff recommends that the Planning and Zoning Commission forward to the Town Council a recommendation of approval for a Conditional Use Permit for APN 306-23-057 to allow a food truck court on a CL (Commercial Light) zoned district, subject to the following conditions:

1) All food trucks shall be located in the designated food truck court area shown on site plan.
2) Food trucks shall be limited to no more the 2 trucks on site.
3) Trash and recycle receptacles shall be provided on site, and must be emptied and maintained.

Parliamentary Procedure

Chairman: I would entertain a motion

Commissioner: I move to recommend approval to the Town Council of this request to for a Conditional Use Permit for approximately 1.38 acres of real property located approximately located approximately .25 mile north of the northeast corner of Center Street and State Route 89 intersection at 246 North State Route 89 to allow the to allow a food truck court on a CL (Commercial Light) zoned district, subject to the following conditions:

1.) All food trucks shall be located in the designated area shown on site plan.
2.) Food trucks shall be limited to no more the 2 trucks on site.
3.) Trash and recycle receptacles shall be provided on site, and must be emptied and maintained.
Chairman: Do we have a second?
Commissioner: I’ll second
Chairman: All in favor
Commissioner: Aye (or nay)
Chairman: Anybody opposed?
Chairman: Motion is carried (or denied)
Appendix A: Site Plan

PROJECT DATA
- APP: 206-23-007
- Site Address: 216 North State Route 89
- Site Area: 1.38 Acres (60,112 sq ft)
- Existing Zoning: Commercial Light
- General Plan Land Use Designation: Commercial/Multi-Family Residential
- Site Improvements: Two (2) Commercial Buildings
- Parking Space Provided: 21 Parking Spaces
- Proposed Project Description: Conditional Use Permit To Allow A Food Truck Court In The Commercial Light Zoning District

NOT TO SCALE